

What would happen to the BCS conferences if the 53 nonBCS universities refused to schedule the BCS schools for football games? I know the nonBCS schools depend on these match-ups for their financial payoffs but could you imagine the scrambling that would take place among the BCS schools for their non conference games? If the BCS schools are limited to playing on a weekly basis only their conference opponents and other BCS schools, there would not be enough BCS schools to qualify for all the bowls that have been created. I believe the threat of a scheduling boycott would bring about a compromise with the leaders of the BCS conferences. By including every conference champion in Division IA a spot in a major bowl, by pairing these conference champions by their BCS rankings, I believe is a compromise that could be beneficial. It would benefit the nonBCS schools that if they would align into conferences of 12 or more to stage a championship game with the winner earning the major bowl berth. The MAC has a championship game. Conference USA plans to have a conference game. The WAC and the Mountain WEST need a merger. The Sunbelt schools could be distributed into Conference USA and the WAC/Mountain West. If after these alignments take shape and the BCS schools do not compromise, the nonBCS schools can adequately schedule their 11 or 12 game season with the schools in their conferences. There will not be enough bowl eligible teams from the BCS schools because they would be limited to play among themselves. I don't think the BCS schools will give in unless they are threatened in this manner. I hope I'm wrong but that is my suggestion.