

Dear All,

I know that you are in the process of helping to decide the future of the college football bowl system. I am writing to let you know about a simple but very effect solution to better the BCS system. Please consider these changes at your next meeting.

1. Make the Coaches poll, AP poll, and computer poll worth the same. Just like in our judiciary system in which three judges would determine the out come of any arguments. A majority of 2/3 will always win.
2. Have the computers take into account strength of schedule, margin of victories (max at 20 points), number of losses, and quality of opponents in their rankings. That way each of these components would not be worth so much and would not be a stand alone item, it'll all be in the computer's averages. (We may not realize it but the human polls have already taken most of these components into consideration when voting.)
3. When we add up all 3 polls together the team with the lowest score is the # 1 team and the next lowest is the # 2 team and so on, and so on.
4. In the event of a tie, we would only use the Coaches and AP poll on teams with the same scores as a tie breaker to see which team will be rank higher. This scenario will always determine a clear cut winner. It is logically/mathematically impossible for two or more teams to have the same score when all 3 polls are added up AND have those same teams to have the same score when only two polls are added up. You may ask why drop the computer poll in a tie breaker scenario? It's simple, computers don't hand out the trophies, and humans do.

With these 4 simple changes, the BCS system would be a lot more manageable and agreeable. It would not take a major effort or changes to implement these steps. The BCS system could keep its bowl rotation and selection as is.

The next few steps will require a bit more effort and changes but in the long run will better the image of college football as being fair and competitive sport.

1. By using the above BCS changes, we could take the top rank 16 teams and have them play just one more game after the conference winner has been decided.
2. Out of the top rank 16 teams, the 6 BCS conference winner will automatically shift to the top 6 spots in the order according to the their BCS rankings.
3. The 2 highest rank team that's not a conference winner and/or non-BCS teams will take up spots 7 & 8 in the order according to their BCS rankings.
4. After the shifting of the teams, the top 8 teams will be playing at

home and they will host the bottom 8 teams for a one game playoff scenario. (Ex: 1 vs. 16, 2 vs. 15, ....., 8 vs. 9)

5. After the game has been played, the 8 winner will be guarantee a BCS game. A new ranking (with all 3 polls) will be release just like before. The top 2 teams will play in their designed national championship bowl game, while the conference winner (if they win their playoff game) will go to their designed bowl game (Ex: Pac-10 vs. Big-10 in Rose Bowl). If a conference winner does lose their game, then the team that beat them will be an at-large team.

I believe the main reason that these changes should happen is so that the non-BCS conferences would have a chance to get into a BCS game at the same time allow a team that may have lost their conference to redeem themselves and play in a big game. In the fairness and competitiveness of sports, we should allow every team to have a chance to be at their best.

All the changes I have mention above are not unreasonable or difficult to implement. They have many benefits to them such as, they would allow all the bowls and conferences to keep their tradition, with 1 extra game it'll provide a fair and competitive environment for everyone, and decide a true national champion without play any extra games.

On a personal note, I truly love this game and I know the BCS is not a perfect system, but with some simple changes it could come very close to it.

I want to thank you for taking the time to read this lengthy letter. It means a lot to me because I'm such a fan of this game.